

United States Patent and Trademark Office

H.B

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/625,811	07/23/2003	Christopher L. Chua	D/A3316	7775
. 75	90 08/30/2006	·	EXAM	INER
Patent Documentation Center			NGUYEN, TUAN N	
Xerox Corporation Xerox Square 20th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 Clinton Ave. S.			2828	
Rochester, NY	14644			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/625,811	0/625,811 CHUA, CHRISTO				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	Art Unit			
		Tuan N. Nguyen	2828				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	1	with the correspondence a	ddress			
Period for	Reply						
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si by received by the Office later than three months after the na patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a b. priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status							
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 3	11 July 2006.					
·		This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the							
	osed in accordance with the practice und	·	*				
Dispositio	n of Claims						
· _	laim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	laim(s) is/are allowed.						
*	laim(s) <u>1-14,16,18 and 20-33</u> is/are reject	ted.					
_	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,70,78 and 20-35</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,17 and 19</u> is/are objected to.						
·	laim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application		•					
	•						
·	e specification is objected to by the Exam		. L H				
	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	•	•				
	oplicant may not request that any objection to	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	SED 4 404(3)			
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the conceptation or declaration is objected to by the						
		e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u> </u>	knowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2.	Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in a	Application No				
3.	☐ Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	n received in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bui						
* See	e the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s		_					
	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Informat	r Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PTO/SB o(s)/Mail Date <u>3/21/06;7/31/06</u> .		Informal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/625,811

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 102(b) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 5-8, 12, 16, 21, 22, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Thornton (US 6208681).

With respect to claims 1, 7, 12, 16, 21, 22 Thornton '681 shows and discloses an array of VCSEL including a first and second VCSEL (Fig 6, 9-18; array of VCSEL including a first and second VCSEL; Col 3: description of drawing: Fig 9) including a first and second or more laser apertures bounded by partial or complete oxidized wall (Fig 18: 322,324,326)(Col 3: 1-15 oxidized regions between two adjacent cavities)(Col 6), with a single common electrode contact simultaneously provide current to first and second or more VCSEL to causes mode coupling or locking between the VCSEL (Col 3: 26-30 advantage of invention a transparent conductor is used to form the electrical contact in the high density laser array; or GROUND electrode is a single common electrode contract between the VCSEL)(Col 7: 15-40)(Col 8: 45-50, 60-67), where the opening between the wall facilitate evanescent fields (mode propagating inside the waveguide or light that passes from the core into the cladding) to interact with the active region of the second or third VCSEL (Col 5: 35-55) (Col 6: 25-35). Since claim 22 recites the same or identical elements/limitations it is inherent to use patents ('681) to recite the method of forming an array of VCSEL, product by process. With respect to the Applicant's remarks and amendment filed 07/31/2006 " evanescent waves are generated by the evanescent

fields and nothing in Thornton suggests using evanescent fields to cause mode locking between adjacent lasers". The applicant only pointing out the prior art is identical except silent to an inherent characteristic. The examiner stands that the evanescent fields (*transient fields / waves* are generate when the VCSEL stimulate/trigger). MPEP 2121.01

With respect to claims 5,6 Thornton '681 discloses the transparent conductor contact, and where laser aperture passes through the transparent conductor (Col 7: 15-20, 40-50)

With respect to claim 8, Thornton '681 discloses the non-oxidized gap between the laser aperture (Col 6: 30-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or non-obviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,811

Art Unit: 2828

4. Claims 2-4, 9-11, 13-14, 18, 20, 23-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thornton (US 6208681).

With respect to claims 2, 4, 13, 20, 26, Thornton '681 discloses the above, the claim further require a high gain region positioned between first and second VCSEL to enhance mode coupling between first and second VCSEL. Thornton '681 did not discretely disclose the high gain region between first and second VCSEL, however Thornton '681 did disclose the dopants or ion implantation (Col 5: 30-40; 55-67)(Col 10) that could increase the high gain region to enhance mode coupling, as disclosed by applicant's specification sections [0022-0023].

With respect to claims 3, 14, 18, 25 Thornton '681 discloses the contact provide the current to the gain coupling region, or simultaneously pump current through first and second VCSEL. (Col 2: 40-50)(Col 7: 15-400) (Col 8: 60-67) (Col 1) (Fig 14, 15) show electrical contact (Fig 9: 132 and bottom electrode 134)(col 7: 45-50) it is inherent that the VCSEL array has a common electrode contact that enabling simultaneous lasing.

With respect to claims 9-11, the claims further require a plurality holes between the laser aperture. It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, that the mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

With respect to claims 23-24 and Applicant's remark "there is nothing in the reference to suggest that dopant will increase the gain", Thornton '681 discloses the method of lateral oxidation, contact and doping to form high gain region (Col 6-7)(Col 7: 1-5 injecting p or n-type carriers resulting in optical amplification).

Application/Control Number: 10/625,811

Art Unit: 2828

With respect to claim 27-32, (Col 5: 1-67) discloses the reflecting mirror structure and

Page 5

DBR to change the phase matching. It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim

are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine

skill in the art, in this case the amount of doping in substrate or mirror layer to form higher gain

or loss region. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 15, 17 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims. The references of the record fail to teach or suggest:

Claim 15:

A high gain coupling region including high gain region coupling at least one opening in

first oxide wall to the corresponding opening in the second oxide wall, the high gain coupling

region also coupled to the contact provides current to high gain coupling region thereby

facilitating mode coupling between first and second VCSEL.

Claim 17:

A plurality of high gain coupling regions including first high gain region coupling at least

one opening in first oxide wall to second oxide wall and a second high gain region coupling

second opening in first oxide wall to opening of the third oxide wall.

Communication Information

Application/Control Number: 10/625,811 Page 6

Art Unit: 2828

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan N Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1948. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:30 - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harvey Minsun can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan N. Nguyen

Way

MINSUN OH HARVEY PRIMARY EXAMINER